

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Pattnt and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bo. 1410 Alexandria Virginia 2313-1450

				www.uspio.gov	
APPLICATION NO	. FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/964,637 09/28/2001		09/28/2001	Tsuneyuki Tsuji	1506.1011 1976	
21171	7590	04/17/2006		EXAMINER	
STAAS & SUITE 700	HALSEY	LLP		WINDER, PATRICE L	
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				2145	
				D. ME . (. H ED . 0.4/15/000)	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/964,637	TSUJI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Patrice Winder	2145					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ja	nuary 2006.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ince this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	• •						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	xaminer.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	🗖						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-20 are presented for further examination. This action is responsive to the amendment of the applicant, filed on January 31, 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 2-5 and 9-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claims disclose, "information browse sharing" which is not disclosed within the specification. Therefore, claims 2-5,9-20 contain subject matter, which was not described in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2145

5. Claims 1-3, 5-10, 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellis et al., USPN 6,898,762 B2 (hereafter referred to as Ellis) in view of Cooper et al., USPN 6,754,904 B1 (hereafter referred to as Cooper).

6. Regarding claims 1 and 6-8, Ellis taught an information browse method (abstract) comprising:

a first search condition information setting step of setting a condition, inputted by any one user among a plurality of users, for searching for information suited to a first user, as first search condition information on this first user (column 22, lines 35-49);

a second search condition information setting step of setting a condition for searching information suited to this second user, as second search condition information on this second user (column 18, lines 32-39);

an information searching step of searching, from within browsing target information containing a plurality of information elements, for an information element with respect to a certain user in accordance with information derived from the first search condition information set in the first search condition information setting step and information derived from the second search condition information set in the second search condition information set in the second search condition information setting step (column 22, lines 62-67; column 23, lines 15-21); and

an information presenting step of presenting to the certain user a piece of information corresponding to a searched result with respect to the certain user in the information searching step (column 22, lines 62-67; column 23, lines 15-21). Ellis does not specifically teach second search condition information inputted by any one among

the plurality of users in a way that specifies a second user. However, Cooper taught second search condition information inputted by any one among the plurality of users in a way that specifies a second user (column 5, line 63-column 6, line 5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that incorporating Cooper's second search condition information specifying a second user in Ellis's electronic program guide system would have improved system flexibility. The motivation would have been to better enforce parental controls.

7. Regarding claims 2 and 9, Cooper further discloses the second search condition information setting step involves setting the information inputted in such a form that any one of the plurality of users specifies the second user to establish a mapping to setting user information indicating the user who inputted the information as the second search condition information on the other user (column 5, line 63-column 6, line 5).

Ellis further taught the information presenting step involves presenting to a user pieces of information on the respective information elements searched with respect to this user, and presenting a piece of information indicating a setting user set as one element of mapping by way of the second search condition information with respect to the respective information elements searched based on the second search condition information (column 18, lines 58-66).

8. Regarding dependent claims 3 and 10, Ellis further taught a second information searching step of searching, based on the second search condition information set by a certain user with respect to second user in the second search condition information setting step, for an information element suited to this second user from the browsing

Application/Control Number: 09/964,637

Art Unit: 2145

target information, and checking whether or not the thus searched information element is searched by the first search condition information set in the first search condition information setting step with respect to the second user (column 18, lines 57-66); and

a second information presenting step of presenting to the certain user a piece of information corresponding to a searched result and a checked result with respect to the certain user in the second information searching step (column 18, lines 57-66).

- 9. Regarding dependent claims 5 and 12, Ellis further taught generating the second search condition information by analyzing natural language information inputted in such a way that any one of the plurality of users specifies other user (column 18, lines 32-39).
- 10. Regarding dependent claims 13-15, Ellis further taught the first and second search conditions are inputted through a web page interface through the Internet, wherein the web pages are provided for a mobile telephone and/or a typical personal computer (column 7, lines 23-30).
- 11. Regarding claim 16, Ellis further taught a control unit determines a recommendation degree corresponding to a hit keyword count with respect to each of the searched information elements searched using the first search condition and the second search condition (column 13, lines 3-16).
- 12. Regarding claims 17-19, Ellis further taught a recommendation degree is derived using data from a care-for-others information table and/or a favorite information table, wherein a program having a larger hit keyword count in the care-for-others information table takes a larger recommendation degree value and information displayed in a

Application/Control Number: 09/964,637 Page 6

Art Unit: 2145

program guide are sorted in a format corresponding to the recommendation degree of each item (column 16, lines 34-44).

- 13. Regarding dependent claim 20, Ellis further taught the control unit receives e-mail communications addressed to a logged-in user (column 12, lines 43-51); the control unit reads all keywords entered into the favorite information table related to the logged-in user, and further reads all keywords entered into the care-for-others information table related to the logged-in user (column 12, lines 52-65); the control unit searches the e-mail communications for the keywords set in the favorite information table and in the care-for-others information table, the control unit executes the process of computing the recommendation degree of each of the e-mail communications wherein the e-mail communications are displayed according to a format corresponding to the recommendation degree for each item (column 13, lines 17-26).
- 14. Claims 4 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellis and Cooper as applied to claims 3 and 10 above, and further in view of Haken, US 2004/0008972 A1 (hereafter referred to as Haken).
- 15. Regarding claims 4 and 11, Ellis-Cooper does not specifically teach a confidential flag. However, Haken further taught the first search condition information contains one or more pieces of information consisting of a keyword and a confidential flag for indicating whether or not a permission of using this keyword is given to other user, and the second information searching step involves performing the check about the searched information element by use of only the keyword having such a relationship that the confidential flag contained in the first search condition information set in the first

Application/Control Number: 09/964,637 Page 7

Art Unit: 2145

search condition information setting step with respect to other user indicates the permission of user (paragraphs 9, 34). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that incorporating Haken's confidential flag in the Ellis-Cooper electronic program guide system would have improved organization and administration. The motivation would have been to distinguish authorized reminders.

Response to Arguments

16. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrice Winder whose telephone number is 571-272-3935. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:30 am-7:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Cardone can be reached on 571-272-3933. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/964,637

Art Unit: 2145

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patrice Winder Primary Examiner Art Unit 2145

April 15, 2006